UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/680,812   | 10/07/2003  | Ramesh Varadaraj     | RV-0319             | 4779             |
| 7590 05/30/2007<br>EXXONMOBIL RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| P.O. Box 900   | 00001 0000  |                      | WEBB, GREGORY E     |                  |
| Annandale, NJ  | 08801-0900  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             | 1751                 | •                   |                  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| •  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 05/30/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.                             | Applicant(s)  |
|--|---|---|
|  | 10/680,812                                  | VARADARAJ ET AL.                                      |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner                                    | Art Unit  |
|  | Gregory E. Webb                             | 1751  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a   |   |   |
| The MALING BATE of this communication of   |   |   |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   |   |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time)</li> </ol>  | of Mailing or Transmission dated            |   |
| (b)   A proposed reply was received on <u>06 September 2</u> final rejection.  | 2006, but it does not constitute a proper   | reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the                   |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely f Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3   | filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);   |   |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (S   |   | mpt at a proper reply, to the non-                    |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received.  |   |   |
| <ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, v), which is after the expiration of the statutor.</li> </ul> | L-85).<br>was received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated                  |
| Allowance (PTOL-85).   |   |   |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala  |   |   |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  |   | CFR 1.18(d), is \$                                    |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has  | s not been received.                        |   |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as random Allowability (PTO-37).  | equired by, and within the three-month p    | period set in, the Notice of                          |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on<br/>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>   | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran      | smission dated), which is                             |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  |   |   |
| 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.  | the attorney or agent of record, the ass    | ignee of the entire interest, or all of               |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>   | an attorney or agent (acting in a repres    | entative capacity under 37 CFR                        |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter-<br>of the decision has expired and there are no allowed of   |   | e the period for seeking court review                 |
| 7. 🖾 The reason(s) below:  |   |   |
| Although the applicant received the non-final reje<br>9/6/06 was deemed improper. As such the office   |   |   |
|  | 5/23/07                                     | Gregory E. Webb<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1751 |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with minimize any negative effects on patent term.  | ndraw the holding of abandonment under 37 ( | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to                |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notic  | ce of Abandonment                           | Part of Paper No. 20070523                            |